

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20002

VIA ELECTRONIC AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

Charles R. Spies 1001 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 1300 South Washington, DC 20004 Cspies@clarkhill.com

OCT 22 2018

RE: MUR 7122

Right to Rise USA

Dear Mr. Spies:

On August 15, 2016, the Federal Election Commission (the "Commission") notified Right to Rise USA and you, in your official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee"), of a complaint indicating that the Committee may have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), and provided you with a copy of the complaint. On August 28, 2018, the Office of General Counsel notified the Committee of additional information obtained by the Commission.

After reviewing the allegations contained in the complaint, your responses, and available information, the Commission, on October 11, 2018, found reason to believe that Right to Rise USA and you, in your official capacity as treasurer, violated 52 U.S.C. § 30121(a)(2), a provision of the Act, and 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(g), a provision of the Act's implementing regulations. The Factual and Legal Analysis, which formed a basis for the Commission's finding, is enclosed for your information.

In order to expedite the resolution of this matter, the Commission has authorized the Office of the General Counsel to enter into negotiations directed towards reaching a conciliation agreement in settlement of this matter prior to a finding of probable cause to believe. Pre-probable cause conciliation is not mandated by the Act or the Commission's regulations, but is a voluntary step in the enforcement process that the Commission is offering to you as a way to resolve this matter at an early stage and without the need for briefing the issue of whether or not the Commission should find probable cause to believe that you violated the law. Enclosed is a conciliation agreement for your consideration,



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20002

Please note that you have a legal obligation to preserve all documents, records and materials relating to this matter until such time as you are notified that the Commission has closed its file in this matter. See 18 U.S.C. § 1519.

Pre-probable cause conciliation, extensions of time, and other enforcement procedures and options are discussed more comprehensively in the Commission's "Guidebook for Complainants and Respondents on the FEC Enforcement Process," which is available on the Commission's website at http://www.fec.gov/respondent.guide.pdf.

Please be advised that, although the Commission cannot disclose information regarding an investigation to the public, it may share information on a confidential basis with other law enforcement agencies.¹

This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(4)(B) and 30109(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter to be made public. We look forward to your response.

On behalf of the Commission,

Caroline C. Hunter

Chair

Enclosures

Factual and Legal Analysis

The Commission has the statutory authority to refer knowing and willful violations of the Act to the Department of Justice for potential criminal prosecution, 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(5)(C), and to report information regarding violations of law not within its jurisdiction to appropriate law enforcement authorities. *Id.* § 30107(a)(9).

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FΔ	CTIIAL.	AND LEGAL	ANALYSIS
T. 73	CIUAL	and dudad	

2 3

4 RESPONDENTS:

Right to Rise USA and Charlie Spies in his official capacity as treasurer

MUR 7122

I. INTRODUCTION

The Complaint alleges that Gordon Tang ("Tang"), Huaidan Chen, Wilson Chen, and American Pacific International Capital, Inc. ("APIC"), a United States subsidiary of a foreign corporation, violated Section 30121 of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). The Complaint bases its allegation on an assertion that foreign nationals Tang and Huaidan Chen, participated in APIC's decision to contribute to Right to Rise USA and Charles Spies in his official capacity as treasurer ("Right to Rise USA"). The Complaint also alleges that Right to Rise USA accepted contributions from APIC after Tang and Huaidan Chen's participation.

Because the evidence in the record indicates that Right to Rise USA, acting through an agent, may have knowingly solicited and accepted contributions from a foreign national, the Commission finds reason to believe that Right to Rise USA violated 52 U.S.C. § 30121(a)(2) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(g).

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. Factual Background

Right to Rise USA is an independent expenditure-only committee that supported Jeb

Bush's 2016 presidential campaign. APIC is a privately held California corporation owned by

See https://www.facebook.com/RighttoRiseUSA/.

Factual and Legal Analysis for MUR 7122 Right to Rise USA Page 2 of 6

- Jag Pacific, Ltd., a foreign corporation. APIC describes itself as a "diversified international
- 2 investment holding company with businesses throughout the US and China."² Tang and his wife
- 3 Huaidan Chen are Chinese nationals who own a majority interest in Jag Pacific, Ltd. According
- 4 to APIC's website, Tang is the Chairman/President of APIC's corporate board, and Huaidan
- 5 Chen is a board member. Wilson Chen, a United States citizen, is the Executive Director of
- 6 APIC and also sits on its board.⁴ Wilson Chen oversees APIC's United States operations.
- 7 The Complaint's allegation stems from two contributions that APIC made to Right to
- 8 Rise USA: \$1,000,000 on March 26, 2015, and \$300,000 on June 29, 2015. The Complaint
- 9 cites to an article from the online publication *The Intercept*, quoting statements made by Wilson
- 10 Chen and Tang regarding the contributions. The relevant portion of that article states:
- 11 According to Chen, 'I proposed to make a donation to the Republican
- Party and then let the board of directors approve it before sending the
- donation.' APIC's board includes Chen himself and Neil Bush, both
- U.S. citizens, but also Chinese citizens Tang and Huaidan Chen. For
- Tang's part, when asked why APIC made the donation to Right to Rise
- USA, he responded: 'Wilson said to donate, so I did, I don't really
- 17 mind.'6
- 18 Right to Rise USA asserts that the Commission did not have the authority to name it as a
- 19 respondent when it was not originally identified as one in the Complaint and that, in any event,
- 20 no allegations have been made against it that constitute a violation of the Act.⁷

See http://www.apicincus.com/.

³ See http://www.apicincus.com/.

⁴ According to the Complaint, the board also includes Neil Bush, a United States citizen, and Jinshan Mao, the board's Vice President, whose nationality is not stated in the record. Compl. at 4.

⁵ Compl. at 4, see also Right to Rise USA 2015 Amended Mid-Year Report at 837, 1,400 (May 20, 2016). Right to Rise USA refunded \$152,230 to APIC on May 2, 2016. See Right to Rise USA 2016 June Monthly Report at 682 (June 20, 2016).

⁶ Id. APIC claims this was an inaccurate translation, and that Tang actually said "Wilson said to donate, so it was done' (by Wilson using APIC funds)."

⁷ Right to Rise USA Response at 1-2 (Aug. 30, 2016).

Factual and Legal Analysis for MUR 7122 Right to Rise USA Page 3 of 6

1	The information in the record indicates that Neil Bush, the brother of Jeb Bush and a		
2	member of APIC's board of directors, first contacted Wilson Chen by telephone in early 2015 to		
3	ask whether APIC would contribute to Right to Rise USA. Neil Bush states that Wilson Chen		
4	responded that he had some interest. During the weekend of February 6, 2015, Neil Bush and		
5	Tang both attended the board of directors meeting for SingHaiyi, a company that Tang and		
6	Huiadan Chen own a majority interest in. ⁸ Sometime during that weekend Neil Bush and		
7	Gordon Tang discussed the subject of APIC contributing to Right to Rise USA during a private		
8~	meeting between the two men, and Neil Bush told Tang that he was going to solicit Wilson Chen		
9	for a contribution. Tang told Neil Bush that APIC might be interested in contributing if it could		
10	do so legally.		
l 1	On February 21, 2015, Neil Bush sent an email to Huaidan Chen (who is also known as		
12	Serena Tang) and Wilson Chen,9 which states:		
13	Serena and Winston,		
14	When I was with Gordon [Tang] he expressed interest in donating		
15	legally through APIC to my brother Jeb's political action		
16	committee (PAC). I've asked the council [sic] for the PAC to send		
17	info for qualifications. Please check to see if APIC qualifies as a		
18	prospective donor. If Gordon wants to make a donation you ought		
19	to pass this by your legal counsel as well to be sure everything is		
20	done properly.		
21 22	Thanks for your interest in helping my brother and thanks for		
22 23	wanting to do it according to the strict rules and regulations in the		
24	USA regarding these matters.		
25			

While SingHaiyi does business with APIC, the two companies are separate entities. Neil Bush also serves -as the Chairman of SingHaiyi's board of directors.

Neil Bush's initial email was actually sent to Huaidan Chen and Winston Chen; Winston Chen is a Vice President at APIC and United States citizen. The email was intended for Wilson Chen and Neil Bush sent the email to Winston Chen in error. When Huaidan Chen responded to Neil Bush's email, she added Wilson Chen as a recipient and removed Winston Chen.

Factual and Legal Analysis for MUR 7122 Right to Rise USA Page 4 of 6

- 1 The email included a legal memorandum from Right to Rise USA's counsel that outlined the
- 2 .contribution rules for domestic subsidiaries of foreign corporations, and that memorandum
- 3 explained that foreign nationals cannot participate in a domestic subsidiary's decision to make a
- 4 political contribution.

5 Huiadan Chen, responded to this message on the same day with an email to Wilson Chen

6 that said "[h]i Wilson, Gordon wants you to follow up this matter. Pls check with Ashlee and

7 contact him by phone. Thanks, Serena." Neil Bush was copied on this email. Gordon Tang

explained during his interview that, after thinking on the issue, he had instructed Huaidan Chen

to send the email that instructed Wilson Chen to follow up on the matter. Wilson Chen

responded with an email that stated "Will do. Thank you! Wilson." Neil Bush also was copied

11 on this email.

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Wilson Chen later emailed Neil Bush and Right to Rise USA's legal counsel, and advised that he had read the aforementioned memorandum, consulted a "legal/political advisor," and concluded that APIC was qualified to contribute as a United States company. In April 2015, Right to Rise USA's counsel inquired as to Wilson Chen's nationality, and Wilson Chen stated that he was a United States citizen.

B. Legal Analysis

The Act prohibits persons from soliciting, accepting, or receiving a contribution or donation from a foreign national. Commission regulations state that persons may not knowingly solicit, accept, or receive such contributions or donations. Commission regulations define "knowingly" as (i) having actual knowledge that funds originated from a foreign national,

⁵² U.S.C. § 30121(a)(2).

¹¹ C.F.R. § 110.20(g).

Factual and Legal Analysis for MUR 7122 Right to Rise USA Page 5 of 6

- 1 (ii) being aware of facts that would lead a reasonable person to conclude that there is a
- 2 substantial probability that the source of the funds is a foreign national, or (iii) being aware of
- 3 facts that would lead a reasonable person to inquire whether the source of the funds is a foreign
- 4 national but failed to conduct a reasonable inquiry.¹²
- 5 APIC has stated that its contributions to Right to Rise USA emanated from the net
- 6 proceeds of a sale of a domestic asset and that Executive Director, Wilson Chen, a U.S. citizen,
- 7 maintained and exercised sole discretion regarding all political contributions. The record,
- 8 however, indicates that Neil Bush had a conversation with Tang, a foreign national, regarding the
- 9 subject of APIC contributing to Right to Rise USA. The record also indicates that Neil Bush
- may have been acting as an agent of Right to Rise USA. 13 After his conversation with Tang,
- Neil Bush sent an email to Huaidan Chen and an address he thought was Wilson Chen's stating,
- 12 "[w]hen I was with Gordon he expressed interest in donating legally through APIC." Neil Bush
- also said that, "[i]f Gordon wants to make a donation you [Wilson Chen] ought to pass this by
- 14 your legal counsel as well to be sure everything is done properly." (emphasis added) Huaidan
- 15 Chen forwarded this email to Wilson Chen instructing him that "Gordon wants you to follow up
- 16 this matter." Wilson Chen responded with an email in which he agreed to follow up, copying
- 17 Neil Bush.

¹² Id. § 110.20(a)(4); see also Contribution Limitations and Prohibitions, 67 Fed. Reg. 69928, 69941 (Nov. 19, 2002) ("The final rules at 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(a)(4)... contain three standards of knowledge....[which] focus on the source of the funds at issue.").

An agent is defined as any person who has actual authority, either express or implied, to solicit, direct, or receive any contribution. See 11 C.F.R. § 300.2(b). Right to Rise provided the aforementioned memorandum to its agents and other fundraisers outlining the contributions rules for a domestic subsidiary of a foreign corporation; when providing the memorandum to Neil Bush, Spies also said to let him know what additional information would be helpful. Neil Bush has characterized himself as a "volunteer."

Factual and Legal Analysis for MUR 7122 Right to Rise USA Page 6 of 6

The record also indicates that Neil Bush may have known that Tang and Huaidan Chen

- 2 were foreign nationals. Neil Bush serves as the Chairman of SingHaiyi's board of directors,
- 3 which includes Tang (who does not speak English), and has served on the board of directors of
- 4 APIC with Tang and Huaidan Chen since 2011. Neil Bush sent Huaidan Chen (as well as the
- 5 person he believed to be Wilson Chen) a legal memorandum stating that, even for a "Super
- 6 PAC," a "domestic subsidiary corporation must still comply with the restrictions on foreign
- 7 <u>national funds and participation</u>" while simultaneously referencing the prior conversation
- 8 with Tang and thus, the record indicates that funds may have been solicited from Gordon Tang
- 9 by an agent of Right to Rise USA.
- Accordingly, the Commission finds reason to believe that Right to Rise USA violated 52
- 11 U.S.C. § 30121(a)(2) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(g).

^{4 (}emphasis in original).